REVIEW ARTICLE

The association between oral hygiene and head and neck cancer: a meta-analysis

Xue Bai^a*, Chunyan Cui^a*, Jiajia Yin^a*, Hua Li^a, Qiwei Gong^a, Bo Wei^a and Yifan Lu^b

^aDepartment of Stomatology, The First Medical Center of PLA General Hospital, Beijing, P.R. China; ^bDepartment of Stomatology, The Third Hospital of Shijiazhuang, Shijiazhuang, P.R. China

ABSTRACT

Objective: Oral hygiene has been suspected to contribute to the aetiology of head and neck cancer (HNC). Based on the meta-analysis, we evaluated the impact of oral hygiene on head and neck cancer (HNC) and its survival.

Materials and methods: Relevant case-control and cohort studies reporting survival data, oral hygiene data were searched *via* PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science databases. The odds ratios (ORs), hazard ratios (HRs), and 95% confidence intervals (Cls) were used. Subgroup analysis was performed.

Results: Oral hygiene was associated with HNC. Tooth brushing ≥ 2 a day, dental floss use, denture wearing, caries ≥ 3 , and dental visit ≥ 1 reduced the risk of oral cavity cancer while mouth wash use, missing teeth >5, gum bleeding, and periodontal disease increased the risk of oral cavity cancer. For oropharynx cancer, tooth brushing ≥ 2 and caries ≥ 3 were associated with reduced risk of it. Tooth brushing ≥ 2 and dental visits ≥ 1 decreased the risk of pharynx cancer risk and larynx cancer risk, however, missing teeth >5 increased both of them.

Conclusion: Oral hygiene was associated with HNC and its sub sites. Oral hygiene should be strengthened, a dental floss use and dentist's visits can be recommended.

Introduction

Head and neck cancer (HNC), which includes cancers of the oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx, and larynx, is the seventh leading cancer in the world, with approximately 710 000 new cases diagnosed worldwide annually [1]. Over 60% of HNC patients present with stage III or IV, defined as locally advanced HNC [2], which recurs in approximately 50% of cases after primary therapy with surgery and radiation, with or without chemotherapy [3]. Patients with HNC have to cope not only with a life-threatening diagnosis but also with an altered facial appearance and the loss or impairment of important functions as a result of treatment, which has a serious impact on the quality of life of patients [4]. Therefore, it is essential to explore the risk factors for HNC and take appropriate precaution.

Numerous epidemiological studies have consistently demonstrated alcohol, betel quids, and cigarettes are the major risk factors of HNC and contribute to the majority of the HNC cases [5,6]. In addition to the above-mentioned risk factors, oral hygiene indicators have been suspected to contribute to the aetiology of HNCs [7]. A recent meta-analysis demonstrated that good oral hygiene, as characterised by few missing teeth, annual dentist visits, and daily tooth brushing, may modestly reduce the risk of HNC [8]. However, there are still limited studies to explore the relationship between oral hygiene and the risk of HNC in different HNC sites. It is noteworthy that the effect of oral hygiene may vary by different HNC sites [9]. Moreover, studies highlighting the role of oral hygiene in the prognosis of HNC are scarce. To address this gap and to call on patients with HNC to pay attention to oral hygiene, further evaluation of the impact of oral hygiene on HNC is needed.

Herein, we conduct this analysis (1) to assess the association between oral hygiene and the risk of HNC in different HNC sites; (2) to investigate the association between oral hygiene habits and the survival of HNC patients.

Methods

We performed this systematic review using PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines [10].

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed online at https://doi.org/10.1080/00016357.2022.2158129.
2022 Acta Odontologica Scandinavica Society

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 6 July 2022 Revised 17 October 2022 Accepted 6 December 2022

KEYWORDS

Oral hygiene; head and neck cancer; survival; meta-analysis



Check for updates

CONTACT Bo Wei weibo0706@outlook.com Department of Stomatology, The First Medical Center of PLA General Hospital, No.28 Fuxing Road, Haidian District, 100853, Beijing, P.R. China; Yifan Lu yifanlu0500@outlook.com Department of Stomatology, The Third Hospital of Shijiazhuang, Shijiazhuang, 050011, P.R. China *Co-author

Search strategy

Literature search was performed via PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Web of Science databases from inception to November 19, 2021. The search terms were as follows: 'Head and Neck Neoplasm*' OR 'Cancer of Head and Neck' OR 'Head and Neck Cancer' OR 'Upper Aerodigestive Tract Neoplasm' OR 'UADT Neoplasm' OR 'Head Neoplasm*' OR 'Head Cancer*' OR 'Neck Neoplasm*' OR 'Neck Cancer*' OR 'Mouth Neoplasm*' OR 'Oral Neoplasm*' OR 'Cancer of Mouth' OR 'Mouth Cancer*' OR 'Oral Cancer*' OR 'Gingival Neoplasms' OR 'Lip Neoplasm' OR 'Lip Cancer' OR 'Palatal Neoplasm*' OR 'Tongue Neoplasm' OR 'Tongue Cancer' OR 'Pharyngeal Neoplasm*' OR 'Pharynx Neoplasm*' OR 'Cancer of Pharynx' OR 'Pharynx Cancer*' OR 'Cancer of the Pharynx' OR 'Pharyngeal Cancer*' OR 'Hypopharyngeal Neoplasm*' OR 'Hypopharyngeal Cancer*' OR 'Oropharyngeal Neoplasm*' OR 'Oropharynx Neoplasm*' OR 'Oropharyngeal Cancer*' OR 'Oropharynx Cancer*' OR 'Laryngeal Neoplasm*' OR 'Larynx Neoplasm*' OR 'Larynx Cancer*' OR 'Laryngeal Cancer*' OR 'Squamous Cell Carcinoma of Head and Neck' OR 'Head And Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma*' OR 'HNSCC' OR 'Laryngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma' OR 'Squamous Cell Carcinoma of Larynx' OR 'Hypopharyngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma' OR 'Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma*' OR 'Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Mouth' OR 'Oropharyngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma' AND 'Oral Hygiene' OR 'Hygiene, Oral' OR 'Dental Hygiene' OR 'Hygiene, Dental' OR 'Oral health' OR 'Toothbrushing' OR 'Tooth brushing' OR 'Tooth cleaning' OR 'Dental check-ups' OR 'Dental visits' OR 'Gum bleeding' OR 'Missing teeth' OR 'Tooth loss' OR 'Dental factors' OR 'Dental status' OR 'Periodontal disease' OR 'Denture us*' OR 'Wearing dentures' OR 'Mouthwash use'. Detailed search strategies from PubMed are provided in Supplementary File 1.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were: (1) patients diagnosed with HNC; (2) studies reporting survival data, oral hygiene data, and comparison data between the two groups; (3) case-control and cohort studies; (4) studies published in English.

The exclusion criteria were: (1) animal experiments; (2) conference reports, case reports, meta-analyses, reviews, editorial materials, letters, protocols, errata.

A total of 8,497 articles were identified through the search, and 6,140 studies remained after removing duplicates, 143 full-text articles were eligible for screening. Finally, 44 studies were included.

Data extraction and study quality assessment

For each study, the following were extracted: the authors' names, year of publication, country, study groups, sources, number of participants, gender, age, education, tobacco, alcohol, cancer site, quality of the study, and outcomes.

Study quality was evaluated using a modified version of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for case-control and cohort studies. The total score of the scale was 10, with <5 as low quality and ≥ 5 as high quality.

Oral hygiene variables and outcomes

Oral hygiene data were obtained from questionnaires administered by dentists and self-report questionnaire interviews. Mouth wash, dental floss, wear denture, gum bleeding, and periodontal disease were defined dichotomously as yes versus no. The number of missing teeth was defined as <5 or ≥5 teeth missing. Tooth brushing was categorised by frequency as $2\geq$ twice/day versus <2 once/day, and dentist visit frequency as \geq once/year versus <3 and the number of missing teeth number (<5, >5).

The outcomes in this study were HNC, overall survival (OS), and disease-free survival (DFS) (from tumour diagnosis to recurrence or end of follow-up). The OS and DFS were assessed by analysing oral health (OH, >5 vs 0-5) and dental care (DC, >2 vs 0-2). A higher OH score indicates worse oral hygiene, and a higher DC score indicates worse DC.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using Stata15.1 software (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA). The odds ratios (ORs) were used as the effect indicators for the enumeration data, hazard ratios (HRs) were chosen for survival data, and the effect size was expressed as 95% confidence intervals (Cls). The heterogeneity was tested for each outcome. The random-effect model analysis was performed for heterogeneity statistic $I^2 \ge 50\%$, otherwise, fixed-effect model analysis was applied. When heterogeneity $I^2 \ge 50\%$. Subgroup analysis was analysed by tobacco smoking (never, ever), alcohol drinking (never, ever), and regions (Europe, North America, Latin America, Asia, and China). Sensitivity analysis was performed on all outcomes, publication bias was tested by Begg's test. And p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Basic characteristics of included studies

A total of 8,497 articles were identified through the search, and 6,140 studies remained after removing duplicates, 143 full-text articles were eligible for screening. Finally, 44 studies [7,9,11–52] were included in this study, involving 52,863 patients, with 19,863 patients diagnosed with HNC and 33,000 without HNC. Among the included articles, 40 were case-control studies, 4 were cohort studies, 33 were of high quality and 11 were of low quality. The characteristics of included studies are presented in Table 1. An overview of the search results is depicted in Figure 1.

Oral hygiene and HNC

Tooth brushing ($\geq 2 \text{ vs} < 2/d$)

Seventeen articles were included to assess tooth brushing and HNC. The result $l^2=73.8\%$, so the random-effect model analysis was performed. The result showed that tooth brushing ≥ 2 times a day was at a lower risk of HNC than tooth brushing <2 times a day (OR: 0.534, 95%Cl: 0.461 to 0.618,p < 0.001). According to regional subgroup analysis,

Table 1. Basic characteristics of included studies.

			um bleeding		um bleeding,	wash,	שמששכום					i teeth,	0	teeth,		l teeth,	2	e, dental visit						
Outcomes	Tooth brushing, denture		Denture, dental visits, g		Dental floss, denture, gu periodontal disease	Tooth brushing, mouth	טפוונטול, אבווטטטוונט	Missing teeth		Periodontal disease		Tooth brushing, missing	מכוונתובי, מכוונמו עוזור	Tooth brushing, missing dental vicit		Tooth brushing, missing		Tooth brushing, denture		Dental visit		Tooth brushing		Missing teeth
S	S		4		4	5		2		5		2		9		S		Ś		ĸ		2		Q
Cancer site	Oral	I	Oral cavity, oropharyngeal	I	Oral	Oral cavity, larynx, hypopharynx,	010µ11d1y11X, µ11.d1 y11.A	Hypopharyngeal	I	Oral cavity, oropharvnx. unknown	. 1	Oral	I	Oral cavity, pharynx, larynx	I	Tongue, floor of mouth, gum,		Oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx, larynx, unspecified/overlapping	1	Buccal mucosa, tongue,		Tongue, floor of mouth, gum, hircral mircosa other		Tongue, buccal mucosa, gum, small area of the gum behind the wisdom teeth, floor of the mouth, lips, hard palate, off palate, maxillary sinus, vestibule, oropharymx, other parts of the mouth
Alcohol	i.	I	178	361	I	0	0	258	376	116	239	0	0	I	I	99	67	446	205	17	5	I	I	126
Tobacco	T	I	170	257	I	- 69	32	260	454	66	161	0	0	I.	I	163	119	596	341	26	15	I	I	88
Education	Illiteracy, primary-middle school,	≥high school Illiteracy, primary-middle school, > bish school	Zhigh school Aligh school, high school or continuous	equivalent, ∠college <high high="" or<br="" school="" school,="">equivalent, >college</high>		1 1	I	≤primary school 89, junior/senior high school 150, ≥junior colleae 39	≤primary school 137, junior/senior high school 459, ≥junior colleoe 97	I	I	Illiteracy 55, primary/middle school	111 Stroot عدامون عدامون عدامون 111 Iliteracy 219, primary/middle school عدامون 114 Ation 263	 + 14, Zingir School 300 < high school 476, high school 389, > high school 516 	Chigh school 219, high school 334, Shinh school 243	Illiterate 59, high school 79, >high school 40	school 42 school 42	Illiteracy 59, primary school 228, junior/middle school 261, senior/ high school 244, ≥college/ university 199	Illiteracy.24.0 primary school 129, junior/niddle school 150, senior/ high school 170, 2college/ university 333	High educational qualification 15	High educational qualification 26	Low 235, high 71	Low 157, high 171	1
Age (years)	18-90	18–90			53.4	51.7 55.0 ± 13.4	52.8 ± 14.9	60.0	58.5	58				59.0 ± 10.4	61.0 ± 12.3	56.49 ± 11.96	58.23 ± 10.32	18–85	18-85	18-45		59.9 ± 11.1	59.5 ± 11.6	59.35 ± 13.64
Sex (Male /Female)	679/480	672/487	182/67	364/134	138/62	123/77 177/99	164/111	Σ		94/27	188/54	ш		1051/330	966/430	130/57	161/79	726/195	556/250	151/19		173/133	182/146	111/39
Sample size (N)	1159	1159	249	498	200	200 276	275	278	693	121	242	250	966	1381	1396	187	240	921	806	85	85	306	328	150
Source	Hospital	Community or the medical examination	centre or the same mospital Hospital	Patients with no history of cancer seen for benian conditions	I	– Hospital	General visitors to the hospital outpatients department (OPD) withourt any oral partholoov	Hospital	Patients unrelated to alcohol, tobacco, or dietary practices in two hosoiral centres	At the outpatient otolaryngology clinic	Outpatient for any benign condition at the same or observing	Hospital	Inpatients or outpatients had other acute	and nor-reoprastic containents Medical record	The North Carolina department of motor vehicle records	Two different multidisciplinary hospitals	Non-neoplastic diseases unrelated to tobacco or alcohol consumption, attending or hospitalised at the study sites	8 study centres	A defined list of nonchronic diseases not related to tobacco smoking or alcohol drinking	Hospital	General population in the	Hospital	Outpatient clinics in the same hospitals with non-neoplastic diseases untalated to tobacco or alcohol	Hospital
Group	Oral cancer	Healthy population	HNSCC	Control	OSCC	Healthy control HNSCC	Control	Hypopharyngeal cancer	Control	SCC of oral and oropharvngeal	Control	Oral cancer	Healthy population	HNSCC	Control	OSCC	Control	HNSCC	Control	SCC of oral and	Control	OSCC	Control	oscc
ountry	hina		SA		ndia	akistan		hina		SA		hina		SA		ustralia		tah		ıdia		anada		hina
Year C	2021 C		2021 U		2020 Ir	2019 P		2019 C		2017 U		2017 C		2017 U		2017 A		2017 U		2016 Ir		2016 C		2015 C
Author	Deng		Shewale		Sharma	Saira		Zeng		Bornigen		Chen		Farquhar		Gupta		Kawakita		Dholam		Laprise		onz

(continued)

Table 1. Continued.

comes				nouth wash, dental visit, DMFT		nouth wash, dental isit		nouth wash, dental isit, gum bleeding		tal visit, sease		lental floss, bleeding								nture, dental caries		nouth wash, denture, dental eding
Out		Mouth wash		Tooth brushing, r missing teeth		Tooth brushing, r floss, dental v		Tooth brushing, r floss, dental v		Mouth wash, den periodontal di		Tooth brushing, c denture, gum		Dental caries	Tooth brushing	Dental visit		Denture		Missing teeth, de		Tooth brushing, r missing teeth, visit, gum ble
S		ŝ		Ś		5		Ω.		9		4		5	9	4		S		4		5
Cancer site		Mouth/oropharynx, hypopharynx/larynx, oesophagus, overlapping multinla eites		Buccal mucosa, tongue, gingivo- buccal sulcus, retro molar, others	I	Oral cavity, pharynx (oropharynx and hypopharynx), larynx	I	Oral, pharyngeal, laryngeal	I	Oral cavity, pharyngeal, laryngeal	1 1	Mouth floor, tongue, mandible, maxilla, palate, cheek, multiple locations		Oral cavity, oropharynx, larynx _	Oral cavity, oropharyngeal, hypopharyngeal, laryngeal, oesophageal	- HNC	I	Cheek mucosa, retromolar area , lower lip, gum, palate, floor of mouth, tongue		Oral, oropharynx	I	Oral cavity, pharynx, larynx, oesophagus
Alcohol	150	1804	1705	47	34	309	275	241	179	462	478	38	87	161 65	706	- 1826	I	I	I	I	I	2828
obacco	20	1746	1260	74	51	379	354	277	213	129	233	I	I	328 105	689	1686 115	1377	124	124	I	I	2994
Education	T	General secondary school 719, intermediate/advanced secondary school 1147, university 97	General secondary school 517, intermediate/advanced secondary school 1274, university 202	I	I	<pre> <pre></pre></pre>	<pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> Selementary school 85, junior high 97, high/technical school 191, Scollege 141	<pre> </pre> <pre> </pre> </td <td><pre> </pre> <pre> </pre></td> <td>Up to high school diploma 186, 2high school diploma 326</td> <td>Up to high school diploma 134, >high school diploma 430</td> <td>- - -</td> <td>I</td> <td>1 1</td> <td>I</td> <td> <high 34,<br="" 45,="" high="" school="">trades certificate 16, other post- secondary 24 S university 43</high> </td> <td> chique 24, Summers 45 chiqh school 402, high school 579, trades certificate 228, other post- secondary 675, > university 799 </td> <td><5 73, 5-8 30, >8 21</td> <td><5 53, 5-8 32, >8 39</td> <td>I</td> <td>I</td> <td><18 432, 18-23 308, >23 180; illiterate 481, primary 1517, secondary 238, university 47</td>	<pre> </pre> <pre> </pre>	Up to high school diploma 186, 2high school diploma 326	Up to high school diploma 134, >high school diploma 430	- - -	I	1 1	I	 <high 34,<br="" 45,="" high="" school="">trades certificate 16, other post- secondary 24 S university 43</high> 	 chique 24, Summers 45 chiqh school 402, high school 579, trades certificate 228, other post- secondary 675, > university 799 	<5 73, 5-8 30, >8 21	<5 53, 5-8 32, >8 39	I	I	<18 432, 18-23 308, >23 180; illiterate 481, primary 1517, secondary 238, university 47
Age (years)	58.83 ± 13.57	59.8 ± 10.1	59.8 ± 11.8	53.27 ± 12.62	47.48 ± 11.05	54.7 ± 10.4	53.7 ± 9.1	54.6 ± 10.7	53.1 ± 10.3	56.0 ± 11.3	60.5 ± 10.8	60±10.7	57±9.7	58.62 ± 11.43 54.35 ± 15.57	I	- 63.8	53.9	I	I	I	I	I
Sex (Male /Female)	125/42	1541/422	1442/551	177/65	178/76	413/23	492/22	300/17	280/16	377/136	420/147	122/56	58/65	281/118 87/134	700/156	2178/518 130/32	1302/1377	102/22	102/22	45/5	43/7	2728/480
Sample size (N)	167	1963	1993	242	254	436	514	317	296	513	567	178	123	399 221	856	2696 162	2679	124	124	50	50	3210
Source	The relatives or friends of OSCC patients	Hospital	Patients admitted to the same hospitals as the cases for a wide spectrum of medical conditions, or randomly selected from the same medical practice list	Hospital	Randomly selected without previous history of any malignancies	Hospital	Patients underwent surgery and had no previous diagnosis of cancer	Hospital	Patients underwent surgery and had no previous diagnosis of cancer	Medical facilities	Massachusetts town lists and town of residence from the same population	Hospital	Treated in the same department without malignant diseases	Hospital General dentistry or benign mucosal lesions patients	Hospital	First-visit outpatients without cancer Hospital	2004–2005 Canadian Community Health Survey	Hospital	Patients assisted in outpatient-care units of the same hospital, without prior or current experience of cancer	Hospital	Disease-free and recruited within the same time span	Hospital
Group	Control	UADT	Control	OSCC	Control	HNSCC	Control	HNSCC	Control	HNSCC	Control	OSCC	Control	HNSCC Control	UADT	Control HNC	Control	OSCC	Control	SCC of oral and oropharynx	Control	SCC of head and neck and oesophagu:
ountry		iermany		dia		hina		hina		SA		iermany		ISA	apan	anada		razil		razil		rance
Year C		2014 G		2014 lr		2014 C		2013 C		2013 U		2013 G		2013 U	یل 2011 یا	2010 C		2010 B		2008 B		2007 F
Author		Ahrens		Narayan		Tsai		Chang		Eliot		Moergel		Tezal	Sato	Johnson		Vaccarezza		Rezende		Guha

Continued	
e	
Tab	

Author	Year	Country	Group	Source	Sample size (N)	Sex (Male /Female)	Age (vears)	Education	Tobacco	Alcohol	Cancer site	SO	Outcomes
			Control	Hospitalised for prespecified conditions thought to be unrelated to tobacco	2733	2151/582	1	<18 324, 18-23 339, >23 265; illiterate 315, primary 1173, conserver 234, university 01	1864	2012	I	ł	
osenquist	2005	Sweden	SCC of oral and oropharynx	use or aconor consumption Hospital	132	91/41	33-87	secondary 204, university of -	I	I	Tongue, floor of mouth, unspecified, oropharynx	9	Missing teeth, denture
			Control	No previous cancer diagnosis with the excention of skin cancer	320	215/105	33-89	I	I	I			
issowska	2003	Poland	HNC	Hospital	122	78/44	I	<hip><hip>school 82, high school 27,> high school 13</hip></hip>	100	79	Tongue, oral cavity, oropharynx, unsnerified parts of pharyny	9	Dental visit, gum bleeding
			Control	Patients admitted for acute illnesses to maint hornitals in the same areas	124	72/52	I	<td>81</td> <td>69</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	81	69			
talaram	2002	India	Oral cancer	Hospital	591	309/282	I	0 292, 1-6 183, ≥7 114	231	178	Oral cavity	4	Tooth brushing, missing teeth,
			Control	Relatives and friends of patients or	582	292/290	I	0 145, 1-6 160, ≥7 275	170	95	I		uenture, gunt presung
Vinn	2001	USA	HNC	outpattents Central cancer registry of the department	342	286/56	I	I	I	I	Oral cavity, pharynx	5	Mouth wash
			Control	or reaction of relation actor Randomly selected for households in the same area, systematic sampling from	521	417/104	I	<high 101,<br="" 306,="" high="" school="">>high school 113</high>	310	349			
Moreno-Lopez	2000	Spain	SCC of oral and oropharynx	rosers of meutage enjoyees Hospital	75	63/12	I	<6 41, >6 34	63	63	Labial mucosa, tongue , gingiva , floor of mouth , other mouth areas 1,	9	Dental visit
			Control	Healthy subjects in health care centres	150	123/27	I	<6 72, >6 78	94	66	oropnaryngear / -		
alamini	2000	Italy	HNC	that corresponded to these hospitals Hospital	132	99/33	60 (27-86)	<6 54, 6-8 43, ≥9 35	30	123	Tongue 54, mouth 34, oro- pharynx 39, unspecified 5	4	Tooth brushing, mouth wash, missing teeth, denture,
			Control	Patients admitted for acute illnesses to	148	103/45	60 (30-83)	<6 52, 6-8 53, ≥9 43	40	129	I		
Schildt	1998	Sweden	OSCC	major hospitals in the same areas Cancer registry	410	276/134	70.5	I	I	I	Lip, tongue, floor of mouth, ainaiva. tonsil/mesopharvnx	9	Denture
/elly	1998	Canada	Control HNC	National population registry Hospital	410 717	276/134 626/91	1 1	– Illiterate 222, grade school 433, high	1 1	1 1		2	Denture
			Control	The same general hospital or	1434	1252/182	I	school 50, college 12 Illiterate 396, grade school 886, high	I	ī	I		
3 undgaard	1995	Denmark	Oral cancer	Laturinent area Hospital	161	97/64	I		135	77	Retromolar area, buccal mucosa, floor of mouth. hard palate	9	Dental visit
			Control	Select randomly from the Danish Central	400	250/150	I		260	89	upper alveolus, lower alveolus, tongue		
Maier	1993	Germany	HNSCC	Population Register Hospital	100	I	30-75	I	I	I	Oral cavity, oropharynx,	4	Tooth brushing, dental visit
			Control	Without carcinoma of the head and neck	214	I	30-75	I	ı	I	nypopnarynx, iarynx -		
Winn	1991	NSA	HNC	or oral disease at the same nospital Population-based cancer registries	866	573/293	63	I	I	I	Oral cavity, pharynx	9	Mouth wash, denture, gum blanding acciedant-1 discose
			Control	Random digit dialling and files of the Health Care Financing Administration	1249	821/428		I	I	I	I		preduity, periodonital disease
ranco	1989	Brazil	Oral cancer	rrom tne same geographic areas Hospital	232	201/31	I	Illiterate 66, grade school 141, high school 21 rollege 4	222	T	Tongue, gum , floor of the mouth unspecified	5	Dental visit
			Control	Patients in the same hospital, without eoplastic disease or mental disorder	464	402/62	I	Illiterate 112, grade school 303, high school 36, college 12	365	I			
Kabat	1989	USA	HNC	In the American Health Foundation's case control study of tobacco- related cancers	125	ш	I	9 I	92	87	Tongue, floor of mouth/gums/ gingiva, buccal mucosa , palate , tonsil, retromolar	4	Tooth brushing, mouth wash, denture, gum bleeding
											trigone, pharynx		

(continued)

Table 1. C	ontinuec.	÷.									
Author	Year	Country	Group	Source	Sample size (N)	Sex (Male /Female)	Age (years)	Education	Tobacco	Alcohol	Cancer site
			Control	Patients with cancers, benign neoplasms, and nonneoplastic conditions thought not to be related to smoking or drinking	107		I	1	64	65	1
Wynder	1983	USA	HNC	1	571	141/157	I	I	508	I	Oral cavity, pharynx
			Control	A large set of hospitalised controls without a tobacco-related disease	6047	4131/1916	30-79	<9 867, 9-12 2825, 13-15 953, \geq 16 1373	3661	I	· 1
Browne	1977	Я	OSCC	Cancer Registry	75	46/29	28-90	I	36	52	Buccal mueosa, upper alveolu and hard palate , lower
											alveolus, floor of mouth, pillar of fauces/soft palate, unspecified or multiple
			Control	Select randomly from the records of a general medical practice serving	150	92/58	37-91	I	68	89	
Zhao	2021	China	SCC of lower	Hospital	143	105/38	I	I	90	53	Lower gingiva
į			Building								

Tooth brushing, denture

ŝ

Mouth wash

Outcomes

S

QS: quality assessment; SCC: squamous cell carcinoma; OSCC: oral squamous cell carcinoma; HNC: head and neck cancer; HNSCC: head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; UADT: upper-aerodigestive tract; OS: overall survival, DFS: disease free survival; UADT: upper aerodigestive tract, - represents not available. OS, DFS OS, DFS SO S 9 9 s Oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx, larynx Oral cavity, tonsils, pharynx, larynx giva Oral cavity 253 80 631 ≤elementary school 204, junior high 217, high/technical school 242, ≤6 529, 7-12 471, >12 125 <10 189, ≥10 87 college 55.19 ± 10.61 58.0 ± 9.0 275 663/722 693/47 230/46 1385 740 276 Multicenter Hospital Hospital Receive periodontal treatment HNSCC HNC Germany China China 2020 2019 2016 Friemel Chang Qian

tooth brushing ≥ 2 times a day was associated with a lower risk with HNC in Europe (OR: 0.531, 95%CI: 0.436 to 0.648, *p* < 0.001), North America (OR: 0.631, 95%CI: 0.544 to 0.731, p < 0.001), Latin America (OR: 0.640, 95%CI: 0.549 to 0.747, *p* < 0.001), Asia (OR: 0.498, 95%CI: 0.385 to 0.645, *p* < 0.001), and China (OR: 0.666, 95%CI: 0.469 to 0.946, p=0.023). Based on tobacco smoking and alcohol drinking, regardless of whether ever smoked or drank alcohol, tooth brushing was linked to HNC (Table 2, Figure 2(a)).

Mouth wash (yes vs no)

A total of 11 studies assessed mouth wash on HNC. Mouth wash use was not associated with the risk of HNC ($I^2 = 74.1$, OR: 1.027, 95%CI: 0.853 to 1.236, p = 0.779). Regarding the regional subgroup analysis, mouth wash use increased the risk of HNC in Latin America (OR: 1.363, 95%CI: 1.107 to 1.679, p = 0.004). However, concerning tobacco smoking, no matter never tobacco smoking (OR: 1.470, 95%CI: 1.054 to 2.050, p = 0.023), ever tobacco smoking (OR: 1.461, 95%CI: 1.190 to 1.793, p < 0.001), mouth wash use was associated with a higher risk of HNC.

Dental floss (yes vs no)

Totally, 3 articles assessed the association between dental floss use and HNC. The result demonstrated that flossing was associated with a lower risk of HNC than non-flossing $(I^2 = 65.2\%)$, OR: 0.472, 95%CI: 0.313 to 0.713, p < 0.001). Similar results were observed in Europe(OR: 0.271, 95%Cl: 0.144 to 0.507, p < 0.001), Asia (OR: 0.483, 95%CI: 0.362 to 0.645, p < 0.001), China (OR: 0.483, 95%CI: 0.362, to 0.645, *p* < 0.001) (Table 2, Figure 2(b)).

Missing teeth (>5 vs <5)

Missing teeth and the risk of HNC were assessed in 12 studies. The more missing teeth, the higher the risk of HNC (OR: 1.891, 95%Cl: 1.536 to 2.327, p < 0.001). The results were not changed in the subgroup analysis (Table 2, Figure 2(c)).

Wear denture (yes vs no)

A total of 19 studies investigated the association between wear denture and HNC. There was no difference in HNC risk between wearing dentures and not wearing dentures $(I^2 = 86.3\%, OR: 1.040, 95\%CI: 0.848$ to 1.274, 0.707). Nevertheless, a higher risk of HNC with denture wearing was noted in North America (OR: 1.343, 95%Cl: 1.154 to 1.563, p < 0.001) and China (OR: 1.449, 95%CI: 1.262 to 1.663, *p* < 0.001).

Caries (>3 vs <3)

The influence of caries on HNC risk was assessed in 2 studies. The result indicated that caries >3 decreased the risk of HNC (OR: 0.554, 95%CI: 0.388 to 0.792, p=0.001). In North America, a similar result was observed (OR: 0.516, 95%CI: 0.346 to 0.771, p = 0.001) (Table 2, Figure 2(d)).



Figure 1. Literature search strategy of included studies.

Dental visit (≥ 1 vs <1)

Totally, 15 studies evaluated the dental visit and the risk of HNC. The result demonstrated that the more frequent dental visits, the lower the risk of HNC (l^2 =88.1%, OR: 0.445, 95%Cl: 0.332 to 0.598, p < 0.001). Regarding the regional subgroup analysis, frequent dental visit was associated with a reduced risk of HNC in North America (OR: 0.447, 95%Cl: 0.328 to 0.611, p < 0.001), Latin America (OR: 0.611, 95%Cl: 0.479 to 0.778, p < 0.001), Asia(OR: 0.319, 95%Cl: 0.177 to 0.574, p < 0.001), China(OR: 0.260, 95%Cl: 0.157 to 0.430, p < 0.001). Dental visits ≥ 1 time a year reduced the risk of HNC among those who smoked tobacco (OR: 0.612, 95%Cl: 0.493 to 0.760, p < 0.001) (Table 2, Figure 2(e)).

Gum bleeding (yes vs no)

Gum bleeding was evaluated in 11 articles. The result showed that gum bleeding was associated with HNC risk ($l^2=86.3$, OR: 1.631, 95%CI: 1.220 to 2.181, p=0.001). Nevertheless, this link was also observed in Europe (OR: 1.640, 95%CI: 1.104 to 2.436, p=0.014), Asia (OR: 2.391,

95%Cl: 1.575 to 3.630, *p* < 0.001), and China (OR: 1.595, 95%Cl: 1.149 to 2.213, *p* = 0.005) (Table 2, Figure 2(f)).

Periodontal disease (yes vs no)

A total of 5 studies were used to assess periodontal disease and the risk of HNC. The result demonstrated that periodontal disease increased the risk of HNC (OR: 2.201, 95%CI: 1.195 to 4.053, p = 0.011). Similar results were observed in North America (OR: 1.313, 95%CI: 1.109 to 1.554, p = 0.002) and Asia (OR:7.217, 95%CI: 4.917 to 10.592, p < 0.001). The periodontal disease was also associated with an increased risk of HNC among those who ever smoked (OR: 1.326, 95%CI: 1.001 to 1.756, p = 0.049) (Table 2, Figure 2(g)).

Oral hygiene and oral cavity cancer

Tooth brushing ($\geq 2 \text{ vs} < 2/d$)

A total of 11 articles were included to assess tooth brushing and oral cavity cancer. The random-effect model analysis result showed that brushing teeth more often lowers your

Table 2. Overall and sensitivity analysis results.

Outco HNC

	sensitivity analysis results.			
comes	Indicators	OR (95%CI)	p Value	²
]	Tooth brush (≥ 2 vs $< 2/d$)			
	Overall	0.534 (0.461, 0.618)	<0.001	73.8
	Sensitivity analysis	0.534 (0.461, 0.618)	0.026	
	Publication bias	Z=2.10	0.036	
	Furope	0.531 (0.436, 0.648)	< 0.001	0.0
	North America	0.631 (0.544, 0.731)	< 0.001	0.0
	Latin America	0.640 (0.549, 0.747)	<0.001	NA
	Asia	0.498 (0.385, 0.645)	<0.001	85.5
	China	0.666 (0.469, 0.946)	0.023	88.8
	Tobacco smoking	<i>(</i>)		
	Never	0.655 (0.532, 0.807)	< 0.001	9.5
	Ever Alcobal drinking	0.641 (0.576, 0.714)	<0.001	0.0
	Alcohor driftking Never	0.576 (0.473 0.702)	~0.001	49.3
	Ever	0.655 (0.579, 0.742)	< 0.001	0.0
	Mouth wash (yes vs no)			010
	Overall	1.027 (0.853, 1.236)	0.779	74.1
	Sensitivity analysis	1.027 (0.853, 1.236)		
	Publication bias	Z = 0.93	0.350	
	Region			
	Europe	1.026 (0.899, 1.170)	0.704	0.0
	North America	1.135 (0.920, 1.392)	0.223	03.8
		(1.107, 1.079) 0.324 (0.075, 1.401)	0.004	83.0
	China	0.877 (0.508, 1.512)	0.636	NA
	Tobacco smoking		0.000	
	Never	1.470 (1.054, 2.050)	0.023	0.0
	Ever	1.461 (1.190, 1.793)	<0.001	0.0
	Alcohol drinking			
	Never	0.821 (0.296, 2.275)	0.705	87.5
	Ever	1.353 (1.119, 1.638)	0.002	0.0
	Dental floss (yes vs no)	0.472 (0.212, 0.712)	<0.001	(5.2
	Overall Soncitivity analysis	0.472 (0.313, 0.713)	<0.001	65.2
	Region	0.472 (0.515, 0.715)		
	Furope	0.271 (0.144, 0.507)	< 0.001	NA
	Asia	0.483 (0.362, 0.645)	< 0.001	NA
	China	0.483 (0.362, 0.645)	<0.001	NA
	Missing teeth (>5 vs \leq 5)			
	Overall	1.891 (1.536, 2.327)	<0.001	79.6
	Sensitivity analysis	1.891 (1.536, 2.327)		
	Publication bias	Z = 0.00	1.000	
	Region	1 600 (1 206 1 007)	<0.001	0.0
	North America	1.009 (1.290, 1.397)	< 0.001	NA
	Latin America	1.672 (1.386, 2.017)	< 0.001	0.0
	Asia	2.091 (1.380, 3.167)	<0.001	87.1
	China	1.647 (1.090, 2.490)	0.018	72.7
	Tobacco smoking			
	Never	1.703 (1.345, 2.157)	<0.001	56.1
	Ever	1.597 (1.344, 1.897)	<0.001	0.0
	Alcohol drinking	1 700 (1 240 2 177)	<0.001	(5.0
	Never	1.708 (1.340, 2.177)	< 0.001	65.9
	Wear denture (ves vs no)	1.780 (1.500, 2.115)	< 0.001	0.0
	Overall	1.040 (0.848, 1.274)	0.707	86.3
	Sensitivity analysis	1.040 (0.848, 1.274)		0015
	Publication bias	Z=0.21	0.834	
	Region			
	Europe	0.899 (0.580, 1.393)	0.635	82.5
	North America	1.343 (1.154, 1.563)	<0.001	0.0
	Latin America	0.974 (0.743, 1.276)	0.847	/1.1
	Asid	0.007 (0.455, 1.057)	0.005 <0.001	95.Z 35.2
	Tobacco smoking	1.202, 1.003)	~0.001	55.2
	Never	1.764 (1.338, 2.326)	< 0.001	0.0
	Ever	1.677 (1.025, 2.743)	0.039	18.1
	Caries (\geq 3 vs <3)			
	Overall	0.554 (0.388, 0.792)	0.001	0.0
	Sensitivity analysis	0.554 (0.388, 0.792)		
	Region		0.001	
	North America	0.516 (0.346, 0.771)	0.001	NA
	Latin America	0.724 (0.329, 1.594)	0.423	NA

	Indicators	OR (95%CI)	n Value	²
	$\frac{1}{1}$	011 (95%Cl)	<i>p</i> value	
	Overall	0.445 (0.332 0.598)	< 0.001	88 1
	Sensitivity analysis	0.445 (0.332, 0.598)	<0.001	00.1
	Publication bias	Z=0.59	0.553	
	Region			
	Europe	0.577 (0.140, 2.387)	0.448	92.6
	North America	0.447 (0.328, 0.611)	< 0.001	81.6
	Latin America	0.611 (0.479, 0.778)	<0.001	0.0
	Asid	0.319 (0.177, 0.374)	< 0.001	07.0 80.6
	Tobacco smoking	0.200 (0.157, 0.450)	<0.001	00.0
	Never	0.906 (0.473, 1.736)	0.766	75.7
	Ever	0.612 (0.493, 0.760)	<0.001	0.0
	Alcohol drinking			
	Never	0.535 (0.352, 0.814)	0.003	0.0
	Ever	0.710 (0.530, 0.953)	0.022	NA
	Gum bleeding (yes vs no)	1 621 (1 220 2 191)	0.001	96.2
	Overall Sonsitivity analysis	1.031 (1.220, 2.181)	0.001	80.3
	Publication bias	7 - 0.93	0 350	
	Region	2 = 0.00	0.550	
	Europe	1.640 (1.104, 2.436)	0.014	0.0
	North America	0.988 (0.815, 1.197)	0.903	0.0
	Latin America	1.149 (0.976, 1.352)	0.096	NA
	Asia	2.391 (1.575, 3.630)	< 0.001	80.1
	China Taha ang kina	1.595 (1.149, 2.213)	0.005	NA
	lobacco smoking	1666 (0.071 2.106)	0 1 2 2	10.7
	Ever	1,000 (0.071, 5,100)	0.125	42.7
	Periodontal disease (ves vs no)	1.555 (0.715, 5.502)	0.104	23.3
	Overall	2.201 (1.195, 4.053)	0.011	94.5
	Sensitivity analysis	2.201 (1.195, 4.053)		
	Region			
	North America	1.313 (1.109, 1.554)	0.002	11.9
	Asia	7.217 (4.917, 10.592)	<0.001	NA
	lobacco smoking	1 225 (0 782 1 047)	0.264	NIA
	Ever	1.255 (0.765, 1.947)	0.304	NA NA
	Alcohol drinking	1.459 (1.045, 2.050)	0.027	NA NA
	Never	4.301 (1.405, 13.169)	0.011	84.4
	Ever	1.326 (1.001, 1.756)	0.049	NA
Oral cavity	Tooth brush (\geq 2 vs <2/d)			
	Overall	0.522 (0.408, 0.668)	<0.001	80.6
	Sensitivity analysis	0.522 (0.408, 0.668)		
	Publication bias	Z=1.56	0.119	
	Region	0.400 (0.240, 0.699)	<0.001	0.0
	Latin America	0.490 (0.349, 0.000)	0.001	0.0 NA
	Asia	0.511 (0.363, 0.719)	< 0.001	87.3
	China	0.703 (0.401, 1.235)	0.221	87.4
	Tobacco smoking			
	Never	0.575 (0.452, 0.732)	<0.001	0.0
	Ever	0.576 (0.418, 0.792)	0.001	0.0
	Alcohol drinking		.0.001	70.0
	Never	0.594 (0.463, 0.763)	<0.001	/2.2
	Ever Mouth wash (ves vs po)	0.592 (0.413, 0.848)	0.004	NA
	Overall	1 340 (1 052 1 708)	0.018	44 9
	Sensitivity analysis	1.340 (1.052, 1.708)	0.010	11.5
	Region			
	North America	1.529 (0.946, 2.470)	0.083	NA
	Latin America	1.494 (1.090, 2.047)	0.013	NA
	Asia	0.702 (0.368, 1.337)	0.282	32.6
	China Dental flore (yes ye no)	0.835 (0.419, 1.665)	0.609	NA
	Dental hoss (yes vs ho) Overall	0.482 (0.306 0.757)	0.002	617
	Sensitivity analysis	0.482 (0.306, 0.737)	0.002	04./
	Region	0.102 (0.000, 0.101)		
	Europe	0.271 (0.144, 0.507)	<0.001	NA
	Asia	0.520 (0.349, 0.775)	0.001	NA
	China	0.520 (0.349, 0.775)	0.001	NA
	Missing teeth (>5 vs \leq 5)			
	Overall	2.005 (1.301, 3.089)	0.002	89.2
	Sensitivity analysis	2.005 (1.301, 3.089)		

Table 2. Continued.	
Outcomes	

Table 2. Continued.				.2
Outcomes	Indicators	OR (95%CI)	p Value	1-
	Region	1 102 (0 770 1 848)	0.420	NA
	Latin America	1.195 (0.770, 1.646)	0.429	NA NA
	Asia	2 524 (1 592 4 000)	< 0.003	85.9
	China	2.051 (1.548, 2.718)	< 0.001	NA
	Tobacco smoking			
	Never	2.061 (1.571, 2.704)	<0.001	0.0
	Ever	2.007 (1.103, 3.653)	0.023	NA
	Alcohol drinking			
	Never	2.051 (1.548, 2.718)	<0.001	NA
	Wear denture (yes vs no)			
	Overall	0.739 (0.530, 1.030)	0.074	87.9
	Sensitivity analysis	0.739 (0.530, 1.030)		
	Publication bias	Z = 0.00	1.000	
	Region	0 (25 (0 225 1 202)	0.150	02.2
	Europe	0.625 (0.325, 1.202)	0.159	83.3
		0.971 (0.038, 1.475)	0.889	79.0
	Asid	0.380 (0.090, 1.000) 1.367 (1.160, 1.612)	< 0.001	95.0 NA
	Tobacco smoking	1.307 (1.100, 1.012)	< 0.001	NA NA
	Never	0.708 (0.083 6.034)	0.752	NA
	Fver	1 677 (1 025 2 743)	0.039	18.1
	Caries (\geq 3 vs < 3)	1.077 (1.023, 2.7 13)	0.039	10.1
	Overall	0.495 (0.288, 0.849)	0.011	NA
	Region			
	North America	0.495 (0.288, 0.849)	0.011	NA
	Dental visit (≥ 1 vs <1)			
	Overall	0.531 (0.367, 0.767)	0.001	76.1
	Sensitivity analysis	0.531 (0.367, 0.767)		
	Region			
	Europe	0.515 (0.352, 0.752)	0.001	NA
	North America	0.735 (0.488, 1.106)	0.14	NA
	Latin America	0.831 (0.572, 1.206)	0.33	0.0
	Asia	0.354 (0.153, 0.816)	0.015	88.1
	China	0.255 (0.067, 0.963)	0.044	89.8
	lobacco smoking	0.401 (0.208, 0.800)	0.005	NIA
	Never Alcohol drinking	0.491 (0.298, 0.809)	0.005	NA
	Alconol drinking	0.401 (0.208 0.800)	0.005	NIA
	Rever Gum bleeding (ves vs no)	0.491 (0.298, 0.809)	0.005	NA NA
	Overall	2 295 (1 709 3 081)	< 0.001	72.2
	Sensitivity analysis	2.295 (1.709, 3.081)	<0.001	72.2
	Region	2.2.2.3 (1.7.03, 3.001)		
	Europe	3.125 (0.723, 13.499)	0.127	NA
	Latin America	1.500 (1.149, 1.956)	0.003	NA
	Asia	2.470 (1.714, 3.561)	<0.001	72.1
	China	1.743 (1.214, 2.503)	0.003	NA
	Tobacco smoking			
	Never	2.624 (1.063, 6.478)	0.036	NA
	Ever	3.462 (2.109, 5.685)	<0.001	NA
	Periodontal disease (yes vs no)			
	Overall	1.929 (1.113, 3.342)	0.019	74.1
	Sensitivity analysis	1.929 (1.113, 3.342)		
	Region	1 462 (0 002 - 2 152)	0.055	
0	North America	1.462 (0.993, 2.152)	0.055	NA
Oropharynx	Note that $(\geq 2 \text{ Vs} < 2/\text{d})$	0.547 (0.200, 0.074)	0.041	NIA
	Overall	0.547 (0.308, 0.974)	0.041	NA
	Acia	0.547 (0.208, 0.074)	0.041	NA
	Asia Tobacco smoking	0.347 (0.308, 0.974)	0.041	NA NA
	Never	1 041 (0 192 5 656)	0.963	NΔ
	Ever	0.524 (0.269 1.024)	0.059	NA
	Alcohol drinking	0.524 (0.205, 1.024)	0.059	N/A
	Never	0.938 (0.256, 3.430)	0.922	NA
	Ever	0.522 (0.269, 1.015)	0.055	NA
	Caries (>3 vs <3)			
	Overall	0.396 (0.226, 0.693)	0.001	NA
	Region	,,		
	North America	0.396 (0.226, 0.693)	0.001	NA
Pharynx	Tooth brush (≥ 2 vs $< 2/d$)			
	Overall	0.506 (0.426, 0.600)	<0.001	49.6
	Sensitivity analysis	0.506 (0.426, 0.600)		
	Region			
	Europe	0.407 (0.257, 0.646)	<0.001	NA

384 🕳 X. BAI ET AL.

Table 2. Continued.

1² Outcomes Indicators OR (95%CI) p Value Latin America 0.560 (0.459, 0.682) NA < 0.001 0.344 (0.204, 0.579) Asia < 0.001 NΔ China 0.344 (0.204, 0.579) < 0.001 NA Mouth wash (yes vs no) 1.384 (0.966, 1.985) Overall 0.077 51.7 Sensitivity analysis 1.384 (0.966, 1.985) Region North America 1.345 (0.940, 1.925) 0.105 NA Latin America 1.653 (1.283, 2.129) < 0.001 NA Asia 0.487 (0.142, 1.669) 0.252 NA China 0.487 (0.142, 1.669) 0.252 NA Dental floss (yes vs no) Overall 0.310 (0.157, 0.614) 0.001 NA Region 0.001 Asia 0.310 (0.157, 0.614) NA China 0.310 (0.157, 0.614) 0.001 NA Missing teeth (>5 vs \leq 5) Overall 1.497 (1.201, 1.865) < 0.001 0.0 Sensitivity analysis 1.497 (1.201, 1.865) Region 1.539 (0.965, 2.454) 0.07 Europe NA Latin America 1.485 (1.157, 1.905) 0.002 NA Wear denture (yes vs no) 3.493 (0.444, 27.469) 0.235 97.8 Overall Sensitivity analysis 3.493 (0.444, 27.469) Region Europe 0.944 (0.646, 1.380) 0.765 NA Latin America 17.876 (0.000, 2.0e + 07) 0.685 99 Dental visit ($\geq 1 \text{ vs} < 1$) 0.461 (0.239, 0.887) Overall 0.02 82.8 0.461 (0.239, 0.887) Sensitivity analysis Region North America 0.805 (0.581, 1.117) 0.195 NA Latin America 0.451 (0.311, 0.655) < 0.001 NA Asia 0.183 (0.071, 0.470) < 0.001 NA 0.183 (0.071, 0.470) China < 0.001 NA Gum bleeding (yes vs no) Overall 1.214 (0.806, 1.829) 0.353 56.8 Sensitivity analysis 1.214 (0.806, 1.829) Region Latin America 1.043 (0.842, 1.292) 0.699 NA Asia 1.619 (0.958, 2.734) 0.072 NΔ China 1.619 (0.958, 2.734) 0.072 NA Periodontal disease (yes vs no) Overall 1.313 (0.965, 1.787) 0.083 NA Region North America 1.313 (0.965, 1.787) 0.083 NA Larynx Tooth brush (>2 vs <2/d) 0.713 (0.602, 0.844) < 0.001 0.0 Overall Sensitivity analysis 0.713 (0.602, 0.844) Region Europe 0.792 (0.574, 1.091) 0.154 NA Latin America 0.693 (0.565, 0.851) < 0.001 NA 0.555 (0.256, 1.201) NA Asia 0.135 China 0.555 (0.256, 1.201) 0.135 NA Mouth wash (yes vs no) Overall 0.909 (0.699, 1.183) 0.479 0.0 Sensitivity analysis 0.909 (0.699, 1.183) Region North America 1.057 (0.576, 1.941) 0.858 NA Latin America 0.880 (0.651, 1.188) 0.403 NA Asia 0.835 (0.187, 3.731) 0.814 NA China 0.835 (0.187, 3.731) 0.814 NA Dental floss (yes vs no) Overall 0.355 (0.132, 0.955) 0.04 NA Region Asia 0.355 (0.132, 0.955) 0.04 NA China 0.355 (0.132, 0.955) 0.04 NA Missing teeth (>5 vs \leq 5) Overall 2.221 (1.757, 2.809) < 0.001 0.0 Sensitivity analysis 2.221 (1.757, 2.809) Region 1.892 (1.281, 2.795) 0.001 NA Europe 2.419 (1.802, 3.247) Latin America < 0.001 NA

(continued)

Outcomes	la di anto ya		n Value	12
Outcomes	indicators	OR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> value	1
	Wear denture (yes vs no)			
	Overall	0.974 (0.838, 1.132)	0.732	0.0
	Sensitivity analysis	0.974 (0.838, 1.132)		
	Region			
	Europe	0.922 (0.680, 1.252)	0.605	NA
	Latin America	0.991 (0.834, 1.178)	0.92	0.0
	Caries (\geq 3 vs <3)			
	Overall	0.800 (0.442, 1.448)	0.461	NA
	Region			
	North America	0.800 (0.442, 1.448)	0.461	NA
	Dental visit (>1 vs $<$ 1)			
	Overall	0.541 (0.408, 0.717)	<0.001	32.7
	Sensitivity analysis	0.541 (0.408, 0.717)		
	Region			
	North America	0.411 (0.243, 0.695)	0.001	NA
	Latin America	0.636 (0.452, 0.895)	0.009	NA
	Asia	0.285 (0.084, 0.965)	0.044	NA
	China	0.285 (0.084, 0.965)	0.044	NA
	Gum bleeding (ves vs no)			
	Overall	1 089 (0 883 1 345)	0.426	0.0
	Sensitivity analysis	1 089 (0.883 1 345)	0.120	0.0
	Begion	1.005 (0.005, 1.545)		
	Latin America	1 118 (0 900 1 390)	0 314	NΔ
	Asia	0.746 (0.317 1.752)	0.514	NA
	Chipa	0.746 (0.317, 1.752)	0.501	NA
	Periodontal disease (ves vs po)	0.740 (0.517, 1.752)	0.501	NA INA
	Querall	1 616 (0 054 0 729)	0.074	NA
	Dogion	1.010 (0.934, 2.738)	0.074	INA
	Neyton Nexth America	1 (1((0.054, 0.729)		
11	North America Missing tests $(> 5 \lor (> 5))$	1.010 (0.954, 2.738)		
нурорпагулх	Missing teeth (>5 vs \leq 5)	2 002 (1 275 2 012)	-0.001	N1.4
	Overall	2.002 (1.375, 2.913)	<0.001	NA
	Region	2 002 (1 275 2 012)		
	Asia	2.002 (1.375, 2.913)	<0.001	NA
	China	2.002 (1.375, 2.913)	<0.001	NA

HNC: head and neck cancer; OR: odds ratios; CI: confidence interval;.

risk of oral cavity cancer ($l^2=80.6\%$, OR: 0.522, 95%Cl: 0.408 to 0.668, p < 0.001). Tooth brushing ≥ 2 decreased the risk of oral cavity cancer in Europe (OR: 0.490, 95%Cl: 0.349 to 0.688, p < 0.001), Latin America(OR: 0.716, 95%Cl: 0.553 to 0.927, p = 0.011), and Asia (OR: 0.511, 95%Cl: 0.363 to 0.719, p < 0.001), while there was no significantly difference in China (OR: 0.703, 95%Cl: 0.401 to 1.235, p = 0.221) (Table 2, Figure 3(a)).

Mouth wash (yes vs no)

Four studies assessed the impact of mouth wash on oral cavity cancer. A higher risk of oral cavity cancer was found in mouth wash use (OR: 1.340, 95%Cl: 1.052 to 1.708, p = 0.018). Regarding the regional subgroup analysis, mouth wash use was also related to an increased risk of oral cavity cancer in Latin America (OR: 1.494, 95%Cl: 1.090 to 2.047, p = 0.013) (Table 2, Figure 3(b)).

Dental floss (yes vs no)

Totally, 3 articles assessed the association between dental floss use and oral cavity cancer. Our result demonstrated that dental floss was beneficial to the reduced risk of oral cavity cancer (OR: 0.482, 95%CI: 0.306 to 0.757, p = 0.002) (Table 2, Figure 3(c)).

Missing teeth (>5 vs \leq 5)

Missing teeth were evaluated in 6 articles. The random-effect analysis result indicated that more missing teeth were associated with a higher risk of oral cavity cancer (OR: 2.005, 95%Cl: 1.301 to 3.089, p = 0.002). Even though the number of missing teeth was not associated with oral cavity cancer risk in Europe (OR: 1.193, 95%Cl: 0.770 to 1.848, p = 0.429) and Latin America (OR: 1.349, 95%Cl: 0.984, 1.847, p = 0.063) more missing teeth were associated with higher oral cavity cancer risk in Asia (OR: 2.524, 95%Cl: 1.592 to 4.000,p < 0.001) and China (OR: 2.051, 95%Cl: 1.548 to 2.718, p < 0.001). Moreover, people who never drank alcohol but had missing teeth >5 were also at higher risk of developing oral cavity cancer (OR: 2.051, 95%Cl: 1.548 to 2.718, p < 0.001) (Table 2, Figure 3(d)).

Wear denture (yes vs no)

A total of 11 studies investigated the association between wear denture and oral cavity cancer. We found that wearing dentures was not statistically associated with oral cavity cancer risk (OR: 0.739, 95%Cl: 0.530 to 1.030, p = 0.074). However, subgroup analysis showed that wearing dentures in China (OR: 1.367, 95%Cl: 1.160 to 1.612, p < 0.001) and ever smoking (OR: 1.677, 95%Cl: 1.025 to 2.743, p = 0.039 increased the risk of oral cavity cancer.



Figure 2. Oral hygiene and the risk of HNC; (a) tooth brush (≥ 2 vs <2/d); (b) dental floss (yes vs no); (c) missing teeth (>5 vs ≤ 5); (d) caries; (e) dental visit (≥ 1 vs <1); (f) gum bleeding (yes vs no); (g) periodontal disease (yes vs no).

Caries (\geq 3 vs <3)

The influence of caries on oral cavity cancer risk was assessed in 1 study in North America. Dental caries \geq 3 had a lower risk of oral cavity cancer than dental caries <3 (OR: 0.495, 95%Cl: 0.288 to 0.849, p = 0.011) (Table 2, Figure 3(e)).

Dental visit (≥ 1 vs <1)

Totally, 7 studies evaluated the dental visit and the risk of oral cavity cancer. The result showed that frequent visits to the dentist reduced the risk of oral cavity cancer (OR: 0.531, 95%Cl: 0.367 to 0.767, p = 0.001). The Frequent dental visits were also associated with lower risk of oral cavity cancer in Europe (OR: 0.515, 95%Cl: 0.352 to 0.752, p = 0.001), Asia(OR: 0.354, 95%Cl: 0.153 to 0.816, p = 0.015), and China (OR: 0.255, 95%Cl: 0.067 to 0.963, p = 0.044) (Table 2, Figure 3(f)).

Gum bleeding (yes vs no)

The association between gum bleeding and the risk of oral cavity cancer was evaluated in 6 articles. Random effect model results showed that gum bleeding was associated with an increased risk of oral cavity cancer (OR: 2.295, 95%CI: 1.709 to 3.081, p < 0.001). Concerning the regional subgroup analysis, although gum bleeding was not associated with

oral cavity cancer risk in Europe, gum bleeding increased oral cavity cancer risk in Latin America (OR: 1.500, 95%Cl: 1.149 to 1.956, p = 0.003), Asia (OR: 2.470, 95%Cl: 1.714 to 3.561, p < 0.001) and China (OR: 1.743, 95%Cl: 1.214 to 2.503, p = 0.003) (Table 2, Figure 3(g)).

Periodontal disease (yes vs no)

A total of 2 studies were used to assess periodontal disease and the risk of oral cavity cancer. Periodontal disease was found to be associated with oral cavity cancer risk (OR: 1.929, 95%Cl: 1.113 to 3.342, p = 0.019) (Table 2, Figure 3(h)).

Oral hygiene and oropharynx cancer

Tooth brushing ($\geq 2 \text{ vs} < 2/d$)

A study based in China demonstrated that tooth brushing \geq 2 per day decreased the risk of oropharynx cancer (OR: 0.547, 95%Cl: 0.308 to 0.974, p = 0.041) (Table 2, Figure 4(a)).

Caries (\geq 3 vs <3)

A study conducted in North America demonstrated that more caries were significantly associated with a lower risk of



Figure 2. Continued.

oropharynx cancer (OR: 0.396, 95%CI: 0.226 to 0.693, p = 0.001) (Table 2, Figure 4(b)).

Oral hygiene and pharynx cancer

Tooth brushing ($\geq 2 \text{ vs} < 2/d$)

The impact of tooth brushing on the risk of pharynx cancer was evaluated in 3 studies. The result showed that brushing more than twice a day reduced the risk of pharynx cancer (OR: 0.506, 95%CI: 0.426 to 0.600, p < 0.001). Regional subgroup analysis also demonstrated that tooth brushing ≥ 2 per day was associated with a reduced risk of pharynx cancer in Europe, Latin America, Asia, China (Table 2, Figure 5(a)).

Mouth wash (yes vs no)

Three studies were used to investigate mouth wash use and pharynx cancer risk. We found that mouth wash use was not associated with pharynx cancer risk (OR: 1.384, 95%CI: 0.966 to 1.985, p = 0.077). However, a study conducted in Latin America reported that mouth wash use increased the risk of

pharynx cancer (1.653 (OR: 1.653, 95%Cl: 1.283 to 2.129, *p* < 0.001).

Dental floss (yes vs no)

A study conducted in China reported that dental floss use was related to a lower risk of pharynx cancer (OR: 0.310, 95%CI: 0.157 to 0.614, p = 0.001) (Table 2, Figure 4(b)).

Missing teeth (>5 vs \leq 5)

Missing teeth and the risk of pharynx cancer were assessed in 2 studies. A higher risk of pharynx cancer was noted with missing teeth >5 (OR: 1.497, 95%Cl: 1.201 to 1.865, p < 0.001). A similar relationship was also observed in Latin America (OR: 1.485, 95%Cl: 1.157 to 1.905, p = 0.002) (Table 2, Figure 5(c)).

Wear denture (yes vs no)

A total of 3 studies assessed denture wearing on the risk of pharynx cancer. The results showed that wearing dentures



Figure 3. Oral hygiene and the risk of oral cavity cancer; (a) tooth brush (≥ 2 vs <2/d); (b) mouth wash (yes vs no); (c) dental floss (yes vs no); (d) missing teeth (>5 vs ≤ 5); (e) caries; (f) dental visit (≥ 1 vs <1); (g) gum bleeding (yes vs no); (h) periodontal disease (yes vs no).

was not statistically related to pharynx cancer risk (OR: 3.493, 95%CI: 0.444 to 27.469, p = 0.235).

Dental visit (≥ 1 vs <1)

Three studies assessed the dental visit. The random-effect model result showed that dental visit ≥ 1 a year reduced the risk of pharynx cancer (OR: 0.461, 95%Cl: 0.239 to 0.887, p = 0.02). The dental visits ≥ 1 a year was also found to be associated with a decreased risk of pharynx cancer in Latin America (OR: 0.451, 95%Cl: 0.311 to 0.655, p < 0.001), in Asia (China) (OR: 0.183, 95%Cl: 0.071 to 0.470, p < 0.001) (Table 2, Figure 5(d)).

Gum bleeding (yes vs no)

Totally 2 studies evaluated the gum bleeding on the risk of pharynx cancer. Gum bleeding was not found to be associated with the risk of pharynx cancer (OR: 1.214, 95%CI: 0.806 to1.829, p = 0.353).

Oral hygiene and larynx cancer

Tooth brushing ($\geq 2 \text{ vs} < 2/d$)

The impact of tooth brushing on the risk of larynx cancer was assessed in 3 studies. Brush tooth \geq 2 has been found to be associated with the reduction of larynx cancer risk (OR: 0.713, 95%CI: 0.602 to 0.844, p < 0.001). Subgroup analysis

also indicated that tooth brushing time was associated with the risk of larynx cancer in Latin America (OR: 0.693, 95%CI: 0.565 to 0.851, p < 0.001) (Table 2, Figure 6(a)).

Mouth wash (yes vs no)

Mouth wash use and the risk of larynx cancer were evaluated in 3 studies. Mouth wash use was not associated with the larynx cancer risk (OR: 0.909, 95%CI: 0.699 to 1.183, p = 0.479).

Dental floss (yes vs no)

A study based on Chinese people showed that dental floss use decreased the risk of larynx cancer (OR: 0.355, 95%CI: 0.132 to 0.955, p = 0.04) (Table 2, Figure 6(b)).

Missing teeth (>5 vs \leq 5)

Two studies were used to evaluate the association between missing teeth and the risk of larynx cancer. Missing teeth >5 was found to be associated with a higher risk of larynx cancer (OR: 2.221, 95%Cl: 1.757 to 2.809, p < 0.001). Similar results were found in Europe (OR: 1.892, 95%Cl: 1.281 to 2.795, p = 0.001) and Latin America (OR: 2.419, 95%Cl: 1.802 to 3.247, p < 0.001) (Table 2, Figure 6(c)).



Figure 3. Continued.

Dental visit (≥ 1 vs <1)

Three studies assessed dental visits on the risk of larynx cancer. Dental visits ≥ 1 a year reduced the risk of larynx cancer (OR: 0.541, 95%Cl: 0.408 to 0.717,p < 0.001) (Table 2, Figure 6(d)).

Oral hygiene and hypopharynx cancer

Missing teeth (>5 vs \leq 5)

A study base in China demonstrated that missing teeth >5 increased the risk of hypopharynx cancer risk (OR: 2.002, 95%CI: 1.375 to 2.913, p < 0.001) (Table 2, Figure 7(a)).

Oral hygiene and HNC survival

OS

OH > 5 vs 0-5. Two studies were identified in the literature reporting OS in patients with HNC basedon their OH. The heterogeneity test showed I2 = 71.8%, so the random effect model was used for analysis. The result reported that there was no difference in the OS risk between the poor and good oral hygiene groups (HR: 1.419, 95%CI: 0.760 to 2.649, p = 0.272). In addition, studies by Qian et al. [50] demonstrated that the more severe periodontitis disease and a more missing tooth, the higher the risk of oral cancer death. The HR and 95%CI of mild, moderate, and severe periodontitis patients were 4.46 (0.94–21.06), 5.16 (1.14–23.39), and

6.65 (1.51–29.36), respectively, with the HR and 95%CI of a missing tooth, was 1.05(1.01–1.09) (Table 3).

DC > 2 vs 0-2. Two studies assessed DC > 2 vs 0-2. The fixed effect analysis results showed that the group with poor DC had a higher risk of OS than the group with better DC (I^2 =24.9%, HR: 1.598, 95%CI: 1.108 to 2.304, p=0.012) (Table 3).

DFs

OH > 5 vs 0-5. A total of 2 articles were included, and the random-effect model was used for analysis. There was no statistical significance after all studies were combined (I^2 =67.9%, HR: 1.366, 95%CI: 0.977 to 1.910, p=0.068) (Table 3).

DC > 2 vs 0-2. Two studies were included to assess DFS. The risk of DFS was higher in the poor DC group than in the good DC group (l²=13.9%, HR: 1.755, 95%CI: 1.176 to 2.619, p = 0.006) (Table 3).

Publication bias and sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis was conducted to assess the reliability of the findings of this study. Sensitivity analysis showed that our results are reliable. Begg's funnel plots were used to



Figure 4. Oral hygiene and the risk of oropharynx cancer; (a) tooth brush (≥ 2 vs <2/d); (b) caries (≥ 3 vs <3).

estimate the potential publication bias. The results showed that there was a publication bias in tooth brushing ≥ 2 (Table 2).

Discussion

In this systematic review and meta-analysis exploring the impact of oral hygiene on HNC and its sub-sites, we found that oral hygiene was associated with HNC. Tooth brushing ≥ 2 a day, dental floss use, denture wearing, caries ≥ 3 , and dental visit ≥ 1 reduced the risk of oral cavity cancer while mouth wash use, missing teeth >5, gum bleeding, and periodontal disease increased the risk of oral cavity cancer. For oropharynx cancer, tooth brushing ≥ 2 and caries ≥ 3 was associated with reduced risk of it. Tooth brushing ≥ 2 and dental visits ≥ 1 decreased the risk of pharynx cancer risk and larynx cancer risk, however, missing teeth >5 increased both of them. Based on our subgroup analysis, dental visit ≥ 1 time a year reduced the risk of HNC among those who smoked tobacco. The periodontal disease was also associated

with an increased risk of HNC among those who ever smoked.

Previous researches have indicated that there is a positive relationship between poor oral hygiene and HNC [8,51]. Poor oral hygiene may interfere with oral microbiome homeostasis and induce chronic inflammation in the oral environment (periodontitis). Inflammatory cytokines or chemokines produced during this process can promote cell proliferation, oncogene activation, and tumour angiogenesis [8,53]. These microorganisms are in a microbiological imbalance (dysregulation) that can produce carcinogens that promote the carcinogenic effects of other carcinogens (e.g. nitrosamines) or metabolise alcohol into genotoxic substances (e.g. acetaldehyde), leading to DNA damage [54].

A higher frequency of tooth brushing that gives a reduction in the risk of oral cavity cancer was observed in this study, which was consistent with several epidemiological studies [17,55,56]. Increasing the frequency of brushing can effectively remove plaque and buildup and reduce the number and type of oral pathogens, thus preventing or delaying the carcinogenic process [56]. The role of dental hygienists in



Figure 5. Oral hygiene and the risk of pharynx cancer; (a) tooth brush ($\geq 2 vs < 2/d$); (b) dental floss (yes vs no); (c) missing teeth ($>5 vs \le 5$); (d) dental visit ($\geq 1 vs < 1$).



Figure 6. Oral hygiene and the risk of larynx cancer; (a) tooth brush ($\geq 2 vs < 2/d$); (b) dental floss (yes vs no); (c) missing teeth ($>5 vs \le 5$); (d) dental visit ($\geq 1 vs < 1$).



Figure 7. Oral hygiene and the risk of hypopharynx cancer; (a) missing teeth (>5 vs \leq 5).

Table 3. Oral hygiene and HNC survival.

Outcomes	Indicators	HR (95%CI)	p Value	l ²
OS	OH > 5 vs 0-5			
	Overall	1.419 (0.760, 2.649)	0.272	71.8
	Sensitivity analysis	1.419 (0.760, 2.649)		
	DC > 2 vs 0-2			
	Overall	1.598 (1.108, 2.304)	0.012	24.9
	Sensitivity analysis	1.598 (1.108, 2.304)		
DFS	OH > 5 vs 0-5			
	Overall	1.366 (0.977, 1.910)	0.068	67.9
	Sensitivity analysis	1.366 (0.977, 1.910)		
	DC > 2 vs 0-2			
	Overall	1.755 (1.176, 2.619)	0.006	13.9
	Sensitivity analysis	1.755 (1.176, 2.619)		

HNC: head and neck cancer; HR: hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval; OS: overall survival; OH: oral health; DC: dental care.

the early detection of oral cancer and the management of precancerous lesions has also been emphasised [57]. The decreased frequency of dental visits has been linked to an increased risk of oral cancer in previous studies, which were similar to our results [22,58]. Consistent with our study, Sharma et al. reported the presence of gum bleeding and periodontal pathologies were significant risk factors for the occurrence of oral cancer [12]. Additionally, the current results also demonstrate that missing teeth could result in an increased risk of oral cancer. It is biologically plausible that missing teeth reflects poor oral health maintenance (irregular tooth brushing and dental visits), mechanical trauma, inflammation (secondary to diabetes, nutritional deficits), infection (secondary to periodontitis or gingivitis), and exposures such as nitrosamines, tobacco use, and alcohol which also result in tooth loss [59]. Missing teeth may be causally related to dentures and inflammation, resulting in gingiva trauma in addition to receding gums, jawbone weakening, and teeth collapsing [60]. The use of dental floss showed a positive trend with HNC risk [25]. We found an interesting finding that mouth wash use was associated with a higher risk of oral cavity cancer. Some authors have stated that oral cancer is increased or contributed to by the use of alcohol-containing mouth rinses [26]. Guha et al. [27] described that daily mouth wash use may be an independent cause of HNC. In daily life, good oral hygiene should be encouraged, increasing the frequency of brushing, flossing, and regular visits to the dentist, and reducing the use of alcohol-based mouth washes to reduce the risk of oral cancer.

Oral hygiene is related to oropharynx, pharynx, and larynx cancers in addition to oral cancer. Sato et al. found that brushing twice or more was associated with a reduction of upper aerodigestive tract cancer risk, including oropharynx cancer [29]. Consistent with our study, a study by Chang et al. [25] demonstrated that a positive association was observed between pharynx cancer risk and larynx cancer risk and no regular dental visits, brushing teeth <2 times/day, frequent gum bleeding. Therefore, individuals with poor oral hygiene, in addition to the prevention of oral cavity cancer, should also be vigilant against oropharyngeal cancer, pharyn-geal cancer, and larynx cancer.

Our analysis showed that the positive association between poor oral hygiene and HNC was also among those who consumed alcohol and tobacco. In our subgroup analysis, dental visits \geq 1 time a year reduced the risk of HNC among those who smoked tobacco, the periodontal disease was associated with an increased risk of HNC among those who ever smoked. Chang et al. reported that combined regular dental visits, tooth brushing, and use of dental floss and mouthwash, showed a positive trend with HNC risk, particularly

among alcohol drinkers and cigarette smokers [25]. A study by Sato et al. reported that the reduced risk of HNC associated with brushing teeth 2 or more times daily was especially significant among heavy smokers and drinkers [29]. Similarly, Chang et al. showed that the reduced risk of HNC associated with routine dental visits was more prominent among ever smokers and ever drinkers [25]. For smokers and drinkers, routine dental visits may even lessen the negative effects of smoking and alcohol on oral health because dental providers can evaluate oral health, check for early signs of oral and pharyngeal cancers, clean their teeth, and counsel them about oral hygiene behaviours such as brushing and flossing [61]. Although it is important to promote abstinence from or reduction of alcohol drinking to decrease the occurrence of HNC, improving oral hygiene practices may provide additional benefits among participants who consumed alcohol and tobacco.

A study has shown that regular dental visits lead to earlier detection of HNC and lower stage at diagnosis, which are crucial components of HNC prognosis [62]. Chang et al. reported that a lack of regular dental visits and overall poor oral hygiene was associated with worse survival of HNC patients [51]. Farguhar et al. reported that poor oral health directly affects cancer progression by altering tumour development and host immune response [16]. Poor oral hygiene may result in the overgrowth of pathogenic bacteria in the oral cavity. These pathogenic bacteria may induce inflammation [63]. Inflammation in turn may lead to poorer survival among HNC patients [64,65]. In addition to inducing inflammation, pathogenic bacteria may promote the progression of HNC through other mechanisms. Fusobacterium nucleatum a well-known species of periodontopathogenic bacteria, has been shown to promote cell proliferation and increase cellular migration and invasion [66] and thus has a potential to promote the progression of HNC.

This study has several limitations. First, the sub sites analysis might have suffered from the lack of statistical power due to the smaller sample size for each sub site. In addition to the lack of statistical power, smaller sample size in the stratified analyses may increase the probability of chance findings. Our analysis is limited by factors influencing oral hygiene indicators and behaviours that could not be adjusted for. Moreover, variables of oral hygiene are subjective in nature. It is possible that these subjective exposures may have been misclassified, depending on whether an interviewer or dentist was performing the examination to assess oral hygiene. We were not able to evaluate interviewer bias by stratifying the results of the oral hygiene assessment by whether the oral examinations were conducted by dentists versus nondentists. In addition, the different dosages of smoking and drinking may affect the risk of HNC. Generally, the more smoking and drinking, the higher the risk of cancer. However, most of the included studies did not mention dosage, so it could not be divided into subgroups.

Conclusions

Oral hygiene is associated with the risk of HNC. It is important to maintain oral hygiene in daily life to reduce the risk of cancer, including tooth brushing ≥ 2 a day, dental floss use, and dentist's visits ≥ 1 a year.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

References

- Cancer Today: data visualization tool for exploring the global cancer burden in 2020. Lyon, France: international Agency for Research on Cancer, accessed on November 12, 2019. https://gco. iarc.fr/today/home.
- [2] Sealy MJ, Stuiver MM, Midtgaard J, et al. Perception and performance of physical activity behavior after head and neck cancer treatment: exploration and integration of qualitative and quantitative findings. IJERPH. 2021;19(1):287.
- [3] Bennardo L, Bennardo F, Giudice A, et al. Local chemotherapy as an adjuvant treatment in unresectable squamous cell carcinoma: what do We know so far? Curr Oncol. 2021;28(4):2317–2325.
- [4] Gold D. The psychosocial care needs of patients with HPV-related head and neck cancer. Otolaryngol Clin North Am. 2012;45(4): 879–897.
- [5] Cohen N, Fedewa S, Chen AY. Epidemiology and demographics of the head and neck cancer population. Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am. 2018;30(4):381–395.
- [6] Dickey BL, Sirak B, Martin-Gomez L, et al. Oral secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor (SLPI): associations with oropharyngeal cancer and treatment outcome. PloS One. 2021;16(7):e0254161.
- [7] Zeng J, Tang Y, Wu P, et al. Alcohol consumption, tobacco smoking, betel quid chewing and oral health associations with hypopharyngeal cancer among men in Central South China: a casecontrol study. CMAR. 2019;ume 11:6353–6364.
- [8] Hashim D, Sartori S, Brennan P, et al. The role of oral hygiene in head and neck cancer: results from international head and neck cancer epidemiology (INHANCE) consortium. Ann Oncol. 2016; 27(8):1619–1625.
- [9] Deng Q, Yan L, Lin J, et al. A composite oral hygiene score and the risk of oral cancer and its subtypes: a large-scale propensity score-based study. Clin Oral Invest. 2022;26(3):2429–2437.
- [10] Selçuk AA. A guide for systematic reviews: PRISMA. Turk Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2019;57(1):57–58.
- [11] Shewale JB, Pickard RKL, Xiao W, et al. Independent association of marijuana use and poor oral hygiene with HPV-negative but not HPV-positive head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. Cancer. 2021;127(12):2099–2110.
- [12] DA, Naqvi DA, Sharma DN, Haider DK. Assessment Of The Association Between Oral Health And Hygiene Practices And Oral Cancer- A Hospital–Based Case–Control Study %J European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine2020. 7(8):1206–11.
- [13] Ahmed R, Malik S, Khan MF, Saira, et al. Epidemiological and clinical correlates of oral squamous cell carcinoma in patients from North-west Pakistan. J Pak Med Assoc. 2019;69(8):1074–1078.
- [14] Börnigen D, Ren B, Pickard R, et al. Alterations in oral bacterial communities are associated with risk factors for oral and oropharyngeal cancer. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):17686.
- [15] Chen F, He BC, Yan LJ, et al. Influence of oral hygiene and its interaction with standard of education on the risk of oral cancer in women who neither smoked nor drank alcohol: a hospitalbased, case-control study. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2017;55(3): 260–265.
- [16] Farquhar DR, Divaris K, Mazul AL, et al. Poor oral health affects survival in head and neck cancer. Oral Oncol. 2017;73:111–117.
- [17] Gupta B, Bray F, Kumar N, et al. Associations between oral hygiene habits, diet, tobacco and alcohol and risk of oral cancer: a case-control study from India. Cancer Epidemiol. 2017;51:7–14.

- [18] Kawakita D, Lee YA, Li Q, et al. Impact of oral hygiene on head and neck cancer risk in a chinese population. Head Neck. 2017; 39(12):2549–2557.
- [19] Dholam KP, Chouksey GC. Squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity and oropharynx in patients aged 18-45 years: a case-control study to evaluate the risk factors with emphasis on stress, diet, oral hygiene, and family history. Indian J Cancer. 2016;53(2):244–251.
- [20] Laprise C, Shahul HP, Madathil SA, et al. Periodontal diseases and risk of oral cancer in Southern India: results from the HeNCe life study. Int J Cancer. 2016;139(7):1512–1519.
- [21] Zuo C, Zhu Y, Wang X, et al. Tooth loss and risk of oral squamous cell carcinoma in chinese han population. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2015;8(11):21893–21897.
- [22] Ahrens W, Pohlabeln H, Foraita R, et al. Oral health, dental care and mouthwash associated with upper aerodigestive tract cancer risk in Europe: the ARCAGE study. Oral Oncol. 2014;50(6):616–625.
- [23] Narayan TV, Revanna GM, Hallikeri U, et al. Dental caries and periodontal disease status in patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma: a screening study in urban and semiurban population of Karnataka. J Maxillofac Oral Surg. 2014;13(4):435–443.
- [24] Tsai ST, Wong TY, Ou CY, et al. The interplay between alcohol consumption, oral hygiene, ALDH2 and ADH1B in the risk of head and neck cancer. Int J Cancer. 2014;135(10):2424–2436.
- [25] Chang JS, Lo HI, Wong TY, et al. Investigating the association between oral hygiene and head and neck cancer. Oral Oncol. 2013;49(10):1010–1017.
- [26] Eliot MN, Michaud DS, Langevin SM, et al. Periodontal disease and mouthwash use are risk factors for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Causes Control. 2013;24(7):1315–1322.
- [27] Moergel M, Kämmerer P, Kasaj A, et al. Chronic periodontitis and its possible association with oral squamous cell carcinoma - a retrospective case control study. Head Face Med. 2013;9:39.
- [28] Tezal M, Scannapieco FA, Wactawski-Wende J, et al. Dental caries and head and neck cancers. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2013;139(10):1054–1060.
- [29] Sato F, Oze I, Kawakita D, et al. Inverse association between toothbrushing and upper aerodigestive tract cancer risk in a japanese population. Head Neck. 2011;33(11):1628–1637.
- [30] Johnson S, McDonald JT, Corsten M, et al. Socio-economic status and head and neck cancer incidence in Canada: a case-control study. Oral Oncol. 2010;46(3):200–203.
- [31] Vaccarezza GF, Antunes JL, Michaluart-Júnior P. Recurrent sores by ill-fitting dentures and intra-oral squamous cell carcinoma in smokers. J Public Health Dent. 2010;70(1):52–57.
- [32] Caio Perrella de Rezende MBR, Carlos Henrique Daguíla, Rogério Aparecido Dedivitis, et al. Oral health changes in patients with oral and oropharyngeal cancer. Rev Bras Otorrinolaringol. 2008; 74(4):596–600.
- [33] Guha N, Boffetta P, Wünsch Filho V, et al. Oral health and risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck and esophagus: results of two multicentric case-control studies. Am J Epidemiol. 2007;166(10):1159–1173.
- [34] Rosenquist K, Wennerberg J, Schildt EB, et al. Oral status, oral infections and some lifestyle factors as risk factors for oral and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. A population-based case-control study in Southern Sweden. Acta Otolaryngol. 2005; 125(12):1327–1336.
- [35] Lissowska J, Pilarska A, Pilarski P, et al. Bardin-Mikolłajczak a et al. Smoking, alcohol, diet, dentition and sexual practices in the epidemiology of oral cancer in Poland. Eur J Cancer Prev. 2003;12(1): 25–33.
- [36] Balaram P, Sridhar H, Rajkumar T, et al. Oral cancer in Southern India: the influence of smoking, drinking, paan-chewing and oral hygiene. Int J Cancer. 2002;98(3):440–445.
- [37] Winn DM, Diehl SR, Brown LM, et al. Outhwash in the etiology of oral cancer in Puerto Rico. Cancer Causes Control. 2001;12(5):419–429.
- [38] Moreno-López LA, Esparza-Gómez GC, González-Navarro A, et al. Risk of oral cancer associated with tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption and oral hygiene: a case-control study in Madrid, Spain. Oral Oncol. 2000;36(2):170–174.

- [39] Talamini R, Vaccarella S, Barbone F, et al. Oral hygiene, dentition, sexual habits and risk of oral cancer. Br J Cancer. 2000;83(9): 1238–1242.
- [40] Schildt EB, Eriksson M, Hardell L, et al. Oral infections and dental factors in relation to oral cancer: a swedish case–control study. European journal of cancer prevention: the official journal of the european cancer prevention organisation. Eur J Cancer Prev. 1998;7(3):201–206. (
- [41] Velly AM, Franco EL, Schlecht N, et al. Relationship between dental factors and risk of upper aerodigestive tract cancer. Oral Oncol. 1998;34(4):284–291.
- [42] Bundgaard T, Wildt J, Frydenberg M, et al. Case-control study of squamous cell cancer of the oral cavity in Denmark. Cancer Causes Control. 1995;6(1):57–67.
- [43] Maier H, Zöller J, Herrmann A, et al. Dental status and oral hygiene in patients with head and neck cancer. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1993;108(6):655–661.
- [44] Winn DM, Blot WJ, McLaughlin JK, et al. Mouthwash use and oral conditions in the risk of oral and pharyngeal cancer. Cancer Res. 1991;51(11):3044–3047.
- [45] Franco EL, Kowalski LP, Oliveira BV, et al. Risk factors for oral cancer in Brazil: a case-control study. Int J Cancer. 1989;43(6):992–1000.
- [46] Kabat GC, Hebert JR, Wynder EL. Risk factors for oral cancer in women. Cancer Res. 1989;49(10):2803–2806.
- [47] Wynder EL, Kabat G, Rosenberg S, et al. Oral cancer and mouthwash use. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1983;70(2):255–260.
- [48] Browne RM, Camsey MC, Waterhouse JA, et al. Etiological factors in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 1977;5(6):301–306.
- [49] Zhao H, Zhang S, Ma J, et al. Impact of oral hygiene on prognosis in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the lower gingiva. Front Surgery. 2021;21:8.
- [50] Qian Y, Yu H, Yuan W, et al. Alveolar bone loss, tooth loss and oral cancer mortality in older patients: a retrospective cohort study. Clin Interv Aging. 2020;15:1419–1425.
- [51] Chang CC, Lee WT, Hsiao JR, et al. Oral hygiene and the overall survival of head and neck cancer patients. Cancer Med. 2019;8(4): 1854–1864.
- [52] Friemel J, Foraita R, Günther KM, et al. Pretreatment oral hygiene habits and survival of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) patients. BMC Oral Health. 2016;16(1):33.
- [53] Gholizadeh P, Eslami H, Yousefi M, et al. Role of oral microbiome on oral cancers, a review. Biomed Pharmacother. 2016;84:552–558.
- [54] Karpiński TM. Role of oral microbiota in cancer development. Microorganisms. 2019;7(1):20.
- [55] Vargas-Ferreira F, Nedel F, Etges A, et al. Etiologic factors associated with oral squamous cell carcinoma in non-smokers and non-alcoholic drinkers: a brief approach. Braz Dent J. 2012;23(5):586–590.
- [56] Zeng XT, Leng WD, Zhang C, et al. Meta-analysis on the association between toothbrushing and head and neck cancer. Oral Oncol. 2015;51(5):446–451.
- [57] Sen S, Priyadarshini SR, Sahoo PK, et al. Palliative oral care in patients undergoing radiotherapy: integrated review. J Family Med Prim Care. 2020;9(10):5127–5131.
- [58] Chen F, Lin L, Yan L, et al. Nomograms and risk scores for predicting the risk of oral cancer in different sexes: a large-scale case-control study. J Cancer. 2018;9(14):2543–2548.
- [59] Similä T, Virtanen JI. Association between smoking intensity and duration and tooth loss among finnish Middle-aged adults: the Northern Finland birth cohort 1966 project. BMC Public Health. 2015;15:1141.
- [60] Cernei ER, Maxim DC, Zetu IN. The influence of premature loss of temporary upper molars on permanent molars. Rev Med Chir Soc Med Nat Iasi. 2015;119(1):236–242.
- [61] Emanuel AS, Parish A, Logan HL, et al. Dental visits mediate the impact of smoking on oral health. Am J Health Behav. 2018;42(1): 59–68.
- [62] Langevin SM, Michaud DS, Eliot M, et al. Regular dental visits are associated with earlier stage at diagnosis for oral and pharyngeal cancer. Cancer Causes Control. 2012;23(11):1821–1829.

- [63] Kauppila JH, Mattila AE, Karttunen TJ, et al. Toll-like receptor 5 and the emerging role of bacteria in carcinogenesis. Oncoimmunology. 2013;2(4):e23620.
- [64] Kano S, Homma A, Hatakeyama H, et al. Pretreatment lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio as an independent prognostic factor for head and neck cancer. Head Neck. 2017;39(2):247–253.
- [65] Valero C, Pardo L, López M, et al. Pretreatment count of peripheral neutrophils, monocytes, and lymphocytes as independent prognostic factor in patients with head and neck cancer. Head Neck. 2017;39(2):219–226.
- [66] Gholizadeh P, Eslami H, Kafil HS. Carcinogenesis mechanisms of Fusobacterium nucleatum. Biomed Pharmacother. 2017;89:918–925.