CLAIM: Checklist for Artificial Intelligence in Medical Imaging

| Section / Topic | No. | Item | |
|------------------|-----|---|---|
| TITLE / ABSTRACT | | | |
| | 1 | Identification as a study of AI methodology, specifying the category of technology used (e.g., deep learning) | Х |
| | 2 | Structured summary of study design, methods, results, and conclusions | Х |
| INTRODUCTION | | | |
| | 3 | Scientific and clinical background, including the intended use and clinical role of the AI approach | X |
| | 4 | Study objectives and hypotheses | Х |
| METHODS | | | |
| Study Design | 5 | Prospective or retrospective study | Х |
| | 6 | Study goal, such as model creation, exploratory study, feasibility study, non-inferiority trial | Х |
| Data | 7 | Data sources | X |
| | 8 | Eligibility criteria: how, where, and when potentially eligible participants or studies were identified (e.g., symptoms, results from previous tests, inclusion in registry, patient-care setting, location, dates) | Х |
| | 9 | Data pre-processing steps | X |
| | 10 | Selection of data subsets, if applicable | X |
| | 11 | Definitions of data elements, with references to Common Data Elements | Х |
| | 12 | De-identification methods | X |
| | 13 | How missing data were handled | Х |
| Ground Truth | 14 | Definition of ground truth reference standard, in sufficient detail to allow replication | Х |
| | 15 | Rationale for choosing the reference standard (if alternatives exist) | X |
| | 16 | Source of ground-truth annotations; qualifications and preparation of annotators | Х |
| | 17 | Annotation tools | X |
| | 18 | Measurement of inter- and intrarater variability; methods to mitigate variability and/or resolve discrepancies | Х |
| Data Partitions | 19 | Intended sample size and how it was determined | X |
| | 20 | How data were assigned to partitions; specify proportions | X |
| | 21 | Level at which partitions are disjoint (e.g., image, study, patient, institution) | X |

| Model | 22 | Detailed description of model, including inputs, outputs, all intermediate layers and connections | X |
|----------------------|----|--|---|
| | 23 | Software libraries, frameworks, and packages | Х |
| | 24 | Initialization of model parameters (e.g., randomization, transfer learning) | X |
| Training | 25 | Details of training approach, including data augmentation, hyperparameters, number of models trained | Х |
| | 26 | Method of selecting the final model | Х |
| | 27 | Ensembling techniques, if applicable | Х |
| Evaluation | 28 | Metrics of model performance | Х |
| | 29 | Statistical measures of significance and uncertainty (e.g., confidence intervals) | Х |
| | 30 | Robustness or sensitivity analysis | Х |
| | 31 | Methods for explainability or interpretability (e.g., saliency maps), and how they were validated | Х |
| | 32 | Validation or testing on external data | Х |
| RESULTS | | | |
| Data | 33 | Flow of participants or cases, using a diagram to indicate inclusion and exclusion | Х |
| | 34 | Demographic and clinical characteristics of cases in each partition | Х |
| Model performance | 35 | Performance metrics for optimal model(s) on all data partitions | Х |
| | 36 | Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and their precision (such as 95% confidence intervals) | Х |
| | 37 | Failure analysis of incorrectly classified cases | Х |
| DISCUSSION | | | |
| | 38 | Study limitations, including potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and generalizability | Х |
| | 39 | Implications for practice, including the intended use and/or clinical role | Х |
| OTHER INFORMATION | | | |
| | 40 | Registration number and name of registry | Х |
| | 41 | Where the full study protocol can be accessed | Х |
| | 42 | Sources of funding and other support; role of funders | X |

Mongan J, Moy L, Kahn CE Jr. Checklist for Artificial Intelligence in Medical Imaging (CLAIM): a guide for authors and reviewers. Radiol Artif Intell 2020; 2(2):e200029. https://doi.org/10.1148/ryai.2020200029