

## Supplementary data

Table 1. Intra- and interreader measurements

		CCC (CI) <sup>a</sup>	md <sup>b</sup>	SD (md)	CI (md)	LOA <sup>c</sup>	COV (%) <sup>d</sup>
Intraobserver Reader 1 (AMN)	Cortical index	0.92 (0.87–0.96)	0.00052	0.030	(–0.059 to 0.060)	(–0.060 to 0.059)	5.74
Intraobserver Reader 2 (HP)	Cortical index	0.93 (0.87–0.96)	0.0038	0.027	(–0.0037 to 0.011)	(–0.057 to 0.049)	5.32
Interobserver Reader 1 vs 2	Cortical index	0.90 (0.85–0.93)	0.013	0.030	(0.0066 to 0.019)	(–0.046 to 0.072)	5.82

<sup>a</sup> CCC = Lin's concordance correlation coefficient

<sup>b</sup> md = mean difference

<sup>c</sup> LOA = Limits of agreement

<sup>d</sup> COV = Coefficient of variation

References

<sup>a</sup> Lin L I. A concordance correlation coefficient to evaluate reproducibility. *Biometrics* 1989; 45(1): 255-68.

<sup>c</sup> Bland J M, Altman D G. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. *Lancet* 1986; 327: 307-10. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(86)90837-8

<sup>d</sup> Jones R. *Clinical Investigation and statistics in laboratory medicine*. London: ACB Venture Publications; 1997.

<sup>d</sup> Synek V. Evaluation of the standard deviation from duplicate results. *Accredit Qual Assur* 2008; 13(6): 335-7. doi:10.1007/s00769-008-0390-x