

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No.	Recommendation	Page No.	Relevant text from manuscript
<b>Title and abstract</b>	1	(a) Indicate the study’s design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1	“... a retrospective case series.”
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2	“Patients and methods: Patients ... 6-Minutes-Walk-Test (6MWT) (meters (m)), respectively.”
<b>Introduction</b>				
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	3	“Several studies have ... not yet available.”
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	3	“The primary aim ... and walking ability.”
<b>Methods</b>				
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4	A retrospective case ... was conducted.”
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	4-5	“All data were ... 24 months with BAP.”
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	4-5, case series	“All data were ... 24 months with BAP.”
		(b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	NA	NA
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	4-5, case series	“All data were ... as an exploratory study.”

*Activity of patients with bone-anchored prosthesis (Activ8)*

Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	4-5, case series	“All data were ... 24 months with BAP.”
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	4	“Physical activity had ... errors were discarded.”
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	5	“No sample size ... an exploratory study.”

Continued on next page

Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	5	“Data were analyzed ... [CI] were provided.”
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	5	“Normality of the ... CI] were provided.”
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	5	“Data on patient ... (i.e., transfemoral or transtibial).”
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	5	“Missing data were not imputed.” “All outcomes are ... numbers.”
		(d) <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	NA	NA
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	NA	NA
<b>Results</b>				
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	7	“Forty-eight of the ... transtibial amputation (Figure 2).”
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	7	“Forty-eight of the ... transtibial amputation (Figure 2).”
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	7	“Forty-eight of the ... transtibial amputation (Figure 2).”
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	7	“Patient, amputation, and ... and 56 (14-82) respectively.”
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	7	“Patient, amputation, and ... and 56 (14-82) respectively.” “The mean post-operative ... shown in Table 2.”
		(c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	NA	NA
Outcome data	15*	<i>Cohort study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	NA	NA
		<i>Case-control study</i> —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	NA	NA
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	NA	NA

*Activity of patients with bone-anchored prosthesis (Activ8)*

Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	NA	NA
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	NA	NA
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	NA	NA

Continued on next page

Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	NA	NA
<b>Discussion</b>				
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	8	“This study measured ... SP before surgery.”
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	9	“Some limitations and ... to other outcomes (8, 9).”
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	8-9	“Similar to the ... persons were minimal.” “In our study ... likely to fall.” “The walking ability ... or walking aids.”
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	9	“OI improved activity ... negative consequences.”
<b>Other information</b>				
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	NA	NA

\*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at [www.strobe-statement.org](http://www.strobe-statement.org).